Chief Petty Officer Indoctrination Course Instructor Critique

Note: Forward completed survey to Chief of Naval Education and Training, LEAD 222, 250 Dallas St. Pensacola, Fl. 32508-5300

| | | 4 | eres tes | a a , te | *** | λ, |
|----|--|-------------|----------|-----------------|-----|----|
| 1. | The instructional material was logically sequenced. | 9 | | H | | |
| 2. | The Instructor Guide provided adequate information to teach the course. | | | | | |
| 3. | The Student Guide and reference materials were helpful in presenting and supporting the course. | | | | | |
| 4. | Methods (role plays, case studies, etc.) were useful in achieving lesson objectives. | | | | | |
| 5. | This course was effective in conveying the CPO's new leadership role. | | | | | |
| 6. | What was/were the most benefical topic(s); why? | am wasanini | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7. | What was/were the least benefical topic(s); why? | | | | | |
| 8. | What changes (add or delete topic(s), methods of instruction, etc.) are necessake this a better course; and why? | essary to | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9. | Additional information/Comments pertinent to question (1-5). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |